

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Addison Rice  
Anderson, Mulholland and Associates

DATE: June 27, 2016

FROM: R. Infante 

FILE: 1606008

RE: Data Validation  
Air samples  
SDG: 1606008

---

### SUMMARY

Full validation was performed on the data for several gas samples analyzed for naphthalene by method Compendium Method TO-17: Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Using Active Sampling Onto Sorbent Tubes, January 1999. The samples were collected at Bristol Myer Squib, Humacao, PR site on May 29, 2016 and submitted to Eurofins Air Toxics, Inc. of Folsom, California that analyzed and reported the results under delivery groups (SDG) 1606008.

The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: Compendium Method TO-17. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air Using Active Sampling Onto Sorbent Tubes, January, 1999. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

In general the data is valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. The data results are acceptable for use.

### SAMPLES

The samples included in the review are listed below

Client Sample ID	Lab. Sample ID	Collected Date	Matrix	Analysis
B30IA-1 (052816)	1606008-01A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30AA (052816)	1606008-02A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30IA-5 (052816)	1606008-03A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30IA-2 (052816)	1606008-04A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30IA-1D (052816)	1606008-05A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30IA-4 (05/28/16)	1606008-06A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene
B30IA-3 (052816)	1606008-07A	05/29/2016	Air	Naphtahlene

## **REVIEW ELEMENTS**

Sample data were reviewed for the following parameters, where applicable to the method

- Agreement of analysis conducted with chain of custody (COC) form
- Holding time and sample preservation
- Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) tunes
- Initial and continuing calibrations
- Method blanks/trip blanks/field blank
- Absorbent tube desorption efficiency
- Surrogate spike recovery
- Internal standard performance and retention times
- Field duplicate results
- Laboratory control sample/laboratory control sample duplicate (LCS/LCSD) results
- Quantitation limits and sample results

## **DISCUSSION**

### **Agreement of Analysis Conducted with COC Request**

Sample reports corresponded to the analytical request designated on the chain-of-custody form.

### **Holding Times and Sample Preservation**

All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. Temperature was measured and was not within  $4 \pm 2$  °C. Coolant in the form of blue ice was present. Analysis proceeded. No action taken, samples analyzed within 7 days of collection.

Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time.

### **GC/MS Tunes**

The frequency and abundance of bromofluorobenzene (BFB) tunes were within the QC acceptance criteria. All samples were analyzed within the tuning criteria associated with the method.

### **Initial and Continuing Calibrations**

#### **VOCs and Naphthalene (Method TO-17)**

Initial calibration meets the method performance criteria. Ongoing accuracy of the instrument was determined by the analysis of a continuing calibration standard. Continuing calibration meets the method performance criteria.

### **Method Blank/Trip Blank/Field Blank**

Target analytes were not detected in laboratory method blanks above the method reporting limits.

No trip/field blank analyzed with this data package.

### Surrogate Spike Recovery

The surrogate recoveries as per method TO-17 were within the laboratory QC acceptance limits in all samples analyzed.

### Internal Standard Performance

#### Naphthalene

Samples were spiked with the method specified internal standard. Internal standard are performance and retention times met the QC acceptance criteria in all sample analyses and calibration standards.

### Laboratory/Field Duplicate Results

Field duplicates were analyzed as part of this data set for naphthalene. Target analytes meet the RPD performance criteria for analytes 5 x SQL.

### LCS/LCSD Results

LCS/LCSD (blank spike) analyzed by the laboratory associated with this data package; recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

### Quantitation Limits and Sample Results

Dilutions were not performed on TO-17 samples.

Calculations were spot checked.

### Certification

The following samples 1606008-01A; 1606008-02A; 1606008-03A; 1606008-04A; 1606008-05A; 1606008-06A; and 1606008-07A were analyzed following standard procedures accepted by regulatory agencies. The quality control requirements met the methods criteria except in the occasions described in this document. The results are valid.

  
Rafael Infante  
Chemist License 1888





## Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-1 (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-01A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060116	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 11:10:00 AM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 08:05 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.096	0.98 J	0.094 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 10.4

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	88	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30AA (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-02A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060117	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 6:14:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 08:44 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.063	Not Detected	Not Detected

Air Sample Volume(L): 15.8

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	80	50-150





## Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-5 (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-03A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060118	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 3:56:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 09:24 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.062	2.4	0.15

Air Sample Volume(L): 16.1  
Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	87	50-150





## Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-2 (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-04A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060119	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 5:54:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 10:04 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.063	3.2	0.20

Air Sample Volume(L): 15.8  
Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	84	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-1D (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-05A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060120	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 5:50:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 10:43 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.058	1.3	0.078

Air Sample Volume(L): 17.3  
Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	87	50-150





## Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-4 (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-06A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060121	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 6:04:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/1/16 11:23 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.063	1.5	0.095

Air Sample Volume(L): 15.8  
Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	84	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B30IA-3 (052816)

Lab ID#: 1606008-07A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6060122	Date of Extraction: N/A	Date of Collection: 5/29/16 5:45:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 6/2/16 12:03 AM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	0.064	1.1	0.069

Air Sample Volume(L): 15.6  
Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	81	50-150



**TO-17 SAMPLE COLLECTION**

# **Air Toxics LTD.** **CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD**

**Sample Transportation Notice**

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection, handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquishing signature also indicates agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action, of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 487-4922.

180 BLUE RAVINE ROAD, SUITE B  
 FOLSOM, CA 95630  
 (916) 985-1000 FAX (916) 985-1020

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

Project Manager Terry Taylor  
 Collected by: (Print and Sign) Terry Taylor  
 Company AMAT Email \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address 2700 Westchester City Purchase State NY Zip \_\_\_\_\_  
 Phone 914-251-0400 Fax \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Project Info:</b>		<b>Turn Around Time:</b>	<b>Reporting Units:</b>
P.O. # _____	Project # _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Normal	<input type="checkbox"/> ppmv
Project Name <u>Building 30 VI</u>		<input type="checkbox"/> Rush	<input type="checkbox"/> ppbv
		specify _____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> µg/m3
			<input type="checkbox"/> mg/m3

Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D. (Location)	Engraved or Stamped Tube #	Date of Collection (mm/dd/yy)	Start Time (hr:min)	End Time (hr:min)	Pre-Test Flow Rate ml/min	Post-Test Flow Rate ml/min	Volume L	Indoor/Outdoor % RH	Temp	Indoor Air	Outdoor Air	Soil Vapor	Other
01A	B30TA-1(052816)	0135616	5/29/16	1750	1110	36	36	10.4	93.2	81.5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
02A	B30AA(052816)	0139929	5/29/16	1814	1814	33	33	15.8	86.3	80.7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
03A	B30TA-5(052816)	0139982	5/29/16	1806	1556	34	34	16.1	81.4	83.7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
04A	B30TA-2(052816)	0144325	5/29/16	1754	1754	34	33	15.8	98.6	80.9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
05A	B30TA-1D(052816)	0154148	5/29/16	1750	1750	36	36	17.3	93.2	81.5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
06A	B30TA-4(052816)	0135667	5/29/16	1804	1804	33	33	15.8	93.2	80.7	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
07A	B30TA-3(052816)	0147330	5/29/16	1759	1745	34	33	15.6	86.1	80.8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
											<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
											<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
											<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Relinquished by: (signature) Dan R... Date/Time 05/31/16 1700

Received by: (signature) FedEx Date/Time 7764 0738 2968

Notes:

Relinquished by: (signature) \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Time \_\_\_\_\_

Received by: (signature) Andrea Augustin Date/Time 4/11/16

Relinquished by: (signature) \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Time \_\_\_\_\_

Received by: (signature) \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Time \_\_\_\_\_

Lab Use Only	Shipper Name	Air Bill #	Temp (°C)	Condition	Custody Seals Intact?	Work Order #
	FedEx		16.2	Good SDR AA 4/1/16	Yes No <u>None</u>	1606008

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: 1606008

Date: 05/29/2016

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: QC criteria from "Compendium Method TO-15. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999"; USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #4. October, 2006). The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Eurofins - Air Toxics data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: 1606008

Sample matrix: Air

No. of Samples: 7

Trip blank No.: -

Field blank No.: -

Equipment blank No.: -

Field duplicate No.: B30IA-1\_(052816)/B30IA-1D\_(052816)

☒ Data Completeness

☒ Laboratory Control Spikes

☒ Holding Times

☒ Field Duplicates

☒ GC/MS Tuning

☒ Calibrations

☒ Internal Standard Performance

☒ Compound Identifications

☒ Blanks

☒ Compound Quantitation

☒ Surrogate Recoveries

☒ Quantitation Limits

☐ N/A Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Overall Comments: Naphthalene\_by\_method\_TO-17

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results

U- Compound not detected

R- Rejected data

UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante

Date: 06/27/2016

## DATA COMPLETENESS

DATE RECEIVED

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below       

### HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pH	ACTION
All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. Temperature was measured and was not within 4±2 °C. Coolant in the form of blue ice was present. Analysis proceeded. No action taken, samples analyzed within 7 days of collection.				

### Criteria

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH ≤ 2, 4°C), no air bubbles.

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 16.2°C

### Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met see below \_\_\_\_\_

## GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

  X   The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

  X   BFB tuning was performed for every 24 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

List the samples affected:

**If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.**

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

### CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:       03/31/16        
 Dates of continuing calibration:       06/01/16        
 Instrument ID numbers:       MSD-6        
 Matrix/Level:       Air/low      

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibrations meet method specific requirements. Initial calibration retention times meet method specific requirements. Desorption efficiency verification for Naphthalene 99.9 and 99.8 %; meet method specific requirements.					

#### Criteria

All RFs must be  $> 0.05$  regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be  $\leq 15\%$  regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be  $\leq 30\%$  regardless of method requirements for CCC.

Method TO-15 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of  $\geq 0.995$  has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

#### Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of  $< 0.05$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD  $> 15\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD  $> 90\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D  $> 30\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D  $> 30\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D  $> 90\%$ , estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r  $< 0.995$ , estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

**V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)**

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

### Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
All method blank meeth method specific criteria				

**Field/Equipment/Trip blank**

[illegible]

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## Blank Actions

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)

**Specific actions are as follows:**

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but  $\leq$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL and  $>$  AL, report the concentration unqualified.

**Notes:**

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

[illegible]

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND			ACTION
	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-d4	Toluene-d8	4-BFB	

Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

QC Limits\* (Air)

LL to UL \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_      50 to 150 \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%.

If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
 Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
 and/or see below N/A \_\_\_\_\_

### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Matrix/Level: \_\_\_\_\_

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
_____MS/MSD are not required as part of Method TO-17; blank spike used to assess accuracy_____					
_____					

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (JJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
Criteria were not met \_\_\_\_\_  
and/or see below N/A

## VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

### MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Method TO-15 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Matrix/Level/Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION
----------	-----------------	----------	-----------	-------	--------

Blank lined paper with a diagonal watermark reading "Scanned with CamScanner".

**Actions:**

- \* If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).  
\* If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

### 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD?  
 Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
LCS/LCSD (Blank spike) analyzed in this data package; % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.			

\* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

\* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

### 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below           

### IX. LABORATORY/FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:   B30IA-1\_(052816)/B30IA-1D\_(052816)\_(field)   Matrix:   Air    
 Sample IDs:   LCS/LCSD\_(laboratory)   Matrix:   Air  

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: RPD  $\pm$  25% for air samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
RPD within the method performance criteria.					

#### Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

## X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- \* Area of +40% or -40% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- \* Retention time (RT) within  $\pm 0.06$  seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

Internal standard area and retention times within laboratory control limits for both samples and calibration standards


Actions:

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -40%	IS AREA > + 40%
Positive results	J	J
Nondetected results	R	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 0.330 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met  
and/or see below       

### XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

1606008-03A

Naphthalene                      RF = 2.41520

$$[ ] = (99461)(36)/(611130)(2.41520)$$

$$= 2.426 \text{ ng OK}$$

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met  
 and/or see below       

### XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

#### A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASONS FOR DILUTION
No dilution performed.		

#### B. Percent Solids

List samples which have  $\leq 50$  % solids

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

#### Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)